Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/686,705	SAWANAGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tran Nguyen	3626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Tran Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Derek P. Benke, Attorney for Applicant</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2008.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 31</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Friz</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided discussion regarding the disclosed invention. Examiner described his claim interpretation and the general state of the art. No agreement was reached pending a formal response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/T. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626		